

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/009,335	MULLER ET AL.
	Examiner George Davis	Art Unit 2129

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) George Davis. (3) Mark Hanish.
 (2) Thersa Takeuchi. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 October 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1 and 2

Prior art documents discussed:

None.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner suggests amending claim 1 to specify an outcome of the three branches. After applicants suggested an amendment, the examiner indicates that the examineer will consult the issue with the supervisor.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)